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The Bryan Et Al Group have been exploring the southwest corner of Chester Township for gold since the summer of 1990. Selection of the area was based on an airphoto study which showed a number of lineaments believed to be shears and fractures. A subsequent till sampling program located two gold particle anomalies (23 gold particles/30 kg and 11 gold particles/30 kg) along the south boundary of claims 1094727 and 1094724 and follow-up of these anomalies led to the location of a more anomalous site (44 gold particles/30 kg) on claim 1094723. Stripping and diamond drilling in 1992 to 1994, up-ice of the latter anomaly, failed to reveal a probable source for the gold particles.

The anomalous gold particle site was resampled in 1994 by Marc Bernier of the Ontario Ministry of Northern Development and Mines. A total of 829 gold particles were recovered from his 11.1 kg sample and he recommended further till sampling and trenching.

A decision was made to carry out a limited VLF electromagnetic survey that would cover the gold particle anomaly as well as the trenching and drilling completed to date. The VLF survey identified two conductive zones that may be related to gold mineralisation.

LOCATION
The Bryan Et Al Group of claims is located in the southwest corner of Chester township in the Porcupine Mining Division of Ontario, approximately halfway between Timmins and Sudbury (figure 1).

ACCESS
Access to the claims is via a 4.5 kilometre bush road running north from a turnoff on the Ramsey road 6 kilometres west of highway 144 at the junction with highway 580.

PROPERTY AND OWNERSHIP
The survey covers parts of two claims: P1094720 and P1094723

These claims are part of a group of claims held by the Bryan Group as shown in figure 2.

Ownership of Claims
James Bryan - #1006 - 2 Silvermaple Crt., Brampton, Ontario, L6T-4R1
Fred Chubb - c/o General Delivery, New Lowell, Ontario, LOM-1NO
Murray Little - #1502 - 1441 St. Georges Ave., North Vancouver, British Columbia, V7L-3J4
Edwin Speelman - c/o James Bryan at the Brampton address above
Mail care of James Bryan at the Brampton address above
PREVIOUS WORK

The present claims are the first to have been staked on this ground. The property was originally staked on the basis of an airphoto study by E. Speelman. Speelman identified an east-northeast-trending deformation zone (Davies, 1990, pg. 2 and Figure 3, p. 2a). In 1990, an overburden sampling program was completed by Mingold Resources Inc with sampling assistance provided by the Bryan Group. Bulk basal till samples were processed to produce heavy mineral concentrates. Two gold particle anomalies were discovered along the south boundary of the claim group and were recommended for followup (Davies, 1990, Figure 5, p. 3c.). In 1991, a VLF-EM reconnaissance survey of the eight claims along the north-south claim line boundaries was carried out by the Bryan Group (Speelman, 1992a). This survey indicated that a possible east-west trending deformation or shear zone is present on the south part of the claims. Three rock samples and an east-west line of humus samples were analysed (Speelman, 1992b).

In 1992 the Bryan Group with the assistance of OPAP grants carried out a till sampling survey over 6 claims (P1094719 - 1094724) in order to trace the original 1990 gold particle anomalies in the up-ice direction. 72 Till samples each weighing about 20 kg were collected. The examination of heavy mineral concentrates revealed additional gold particle anomalies. The strongest of these anomalies was a 30 kg sample contained 44 gold particles. This sample indicated that a possible gold particle source was located in the north part of claim P1094723 and the northwest part of P1094720. Followup prospecting, stripping and trenching located a number of shear zones with chlorite-carbonate alteration, sulphides, silicification and quartz veining.

Exploration work was continued by the Bryan Group in 1993 with the assistance of OPAP grants on claims P1094722 and P1094723. Backhoe trenching (505 m) and 10 diamond drill holes (674.3 ft) were completed. Anomalous copper and gold values were found in DD93-3 and DD93-10 respectfully.

The Bryan Group continued exploration in 1994 with the assistance of a OPAP grant. A further 350 metres of backhoe trenching was completed and channel sampled with a rock saw on claims P1094719, 1094720, 109472, and 1094723. 8 Diamond drill holes totalling 1072.8 feet were completed on P1094722 and 1094723. 81 core samples were split and analysed. One drillhole returned copper assay values between 740 and 2550 ppm.

Mr. Mark Bernier of the Sedimentary and Environmental Geoscience Section, Ontario Geological Survey visited the property on September 8, 1994. He repeated and confirmed the strongest anomalous gold particle value of the 1992 program with a count of 829 gold particles in a 11.1 kg sample.

GEOLOGY

Mapping in 1930 shows the area underlying the property as a granite-diorite complex. Regional maps also show the area of the property as adjacent to, but outside of the Swayze greenstone belt. In 1981 the area was mapped by G.M. Siragusa of the O.G.S. and the area underlying the property was identified as metavolcanics. Siragusa's map was put out in preliminary form, and up to now a final report with coloured map has not been issued.

Mapping by Siragusa has identified two areas of quartz veining on the property. The nearest gold showing (37 g/t Au and 33 g/t Ag) is 4 kilometres ENE of the property and consists of a 2 foot quartz vein traced for 325 feet on surface in a
Figure 1. Location of the Bryan ET AL property, Chester Township, Porcupine Mining Division, Ontario. Scale 1:1,125,000
Figure 2. Location of Chester Twp. Bryan ET AL claims on which EM Survey work was performed.
massive, coarse grained granodiorite.

GROUND VLF ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY

A VLF electromagnetic survey was carried out at 15 metre stations on north-south lines spaced approximately 30 metres apart (covering the centre of two adjoining claims 1094720 and 1094723 (figure 2). Transmitters NAA (Cutler 240 kHz) with readings recorded facing nearly south and NSS (Annapolis 21.4 kHz) with readings recorded facing west-southwest were used. 3.5 Kilometres of line were surveyed and 494 readings taken. Profiles are shown on figures 3 and 4 (in pocket).

A geonics EM-16 electromagnetic instrument was used for the survey. The instrument is a very low frequency receiver which operates in the 15 to 25 kHz band and makes use of radio signals transmitted by components of a communications network operated by the United States Navy. Signals transmitted from vertical antennae produce concentric, horizontal, magnetic fields (the primary field) around the antennae. Under the influence of this primary field conductive bodies generate weak, secondary fields which are detected by the instrument.

The EM-16 receiver consists of two receiving coils at 90 degrees to each other and the inputs of these coils are used to measure the secondary field. Then the instrument is properly oriented, the signal from the vertical coil is minimized by tilting and the percentage of tilt is recorded (in-phase reading). The remaining signal in the other coil is balanced out by a measured percentage of the signal in the other coil (out-of-phase reading), after being shifted by 90 degree in-phase. Normally this coil is parallel to the primary field.

Coupling of transmitter NAA (Cutler, Maine) with E-W and NW-SE striking conductors while traversing north-south lines was good. Coupling of transmitter NSS (Annapolis, Maryland) with N-S to NW-SE striking conductors while traversing north-south lines was good. Using both transmitters conductors of any orientation should be detected.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The survey using NSS Annapolis shows a series of seven (1A to 7A) N45-55°W striking conductors which generally show the characteristics of shear zones (in-phase and out-of-phase readings varying in same sense) rather than of massive sulphides (out-of-phase readings vary in opposite sense to in-phase readings).

The survey using NAA Cutler shows five (2A, 3A, 4A, 6A and 7A) of the N45-55°W striking conductors again showing the characteristics of shear zones. In addition there are four (1B-4B) N45-55°E striking conductors.

The N45-55°E striking conductors did not show up on the Annapolis survey because of poor coupling but did show on the Cutler survey where the coupling is reasonably good.

VLF Anomaly 2B passes very close to the most anomalous gold particle site making this conductor the prime drill target. VLF Anomaly 2A is the one showing the greatest amplitude on both the Cutler and Annapolis surveys so should be tested and finally VLF Anomaly 3A should be tested as it is very distinct and has reasonable amplitude on both surveys.
RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that VLF conductors 2A, 3A and 2B be drilled to establish the nature of these conductors. Any sulphide mineralisation, vein quartz or alteration zones should be assayed. Special attention must be paid to Anomaly 2B. If diamond drilling does not show anomalous gold values consideration must be given to trenching this zone, particularly in the area of the strong gold particle anomaly.

R. Davies
June 11, 1997
1. **Raymond Davies**, of North York, in the Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto do hereby certify that:

1. I am a registered Professional Engineer in the Province of Ontario. I graduated from the University of Witwatersrand in Johannesburg, South Africa in 1957 with a Bachelor of Science (Hons.) degree in Geology, and graduated from McGill University of Montreal, Quebec in 1968 with a Doctor of Philosophy degree in Geology.

2. I have practised my profession continuously for 40 years primarily in mineral exploration in North America and Southern Africa for major Mining companies such as Anglo American Corporation of South Africa, DeBeers Consolidated Diamond Mines and Hudson Bay Mining and Smelting Co., Limited.


Dated at North York, Ontario this 18th day of January, 1997.

Raymond Davies, Ph.D., P.Eng.
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APPENDIX A

Hours Worked (Eight-hour working day)
Work on the EM survey in 1995 was carried out by the following.

June 15 16 17 18
Murray Little 1+ 1 + 1 + 1 (4)
Jeff Bryan 1+ 1 + 1 + 1 (4)
Total Days June = 8

July 29, 30
Murray Little 1 + 1 (2)
Jeff Bryan 1 + 1 (2)
Edwin Speelman 1 + 1 (2)
Total Days July = 6
Total person-days worked on field EM survey = 14

STATEMENT OF COSTS

1. Direct Costs

Labour Field (14 Man Days @ 150.00) 2100.00
Contract Service: Consulting: 5 days (R. Davies) 750.00
Maps and Report Preparation 1000.00
Supplies Field 45.00
Equipment Rental EM Rental (equiv.) 750.00

Total direct costs 4645.00

2. Indirect Costs

Transportation 600.00
Food and Lodging 560.00
Mobilization 500.00

Total indirect costs 1660.00
20 % of Direct = 925.00

Total Value of Assessment Credit = 5570.00
Certification Verifying Statement of costs

I hereby certify that the amounts shown are as accurate as possible and these costs were incurred while conducting assessment work on the lands shown on the accompanying Report of Work form.

That as recorded holder I am authorized to make this certification.

J. O. Bryan
Ministry of Northern Development and Mines
Ontario

Report of Work Conducted After Recording Claim
Mining Act

Personal Information collected on this form is obtained under the authority of the Mining Act. This information will be used for correspondence. Questions about this collection should be directed to the Provincial Manager, Mining Lands, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines, Fourth Floor, 159 Cedar Street, Sudbury, Ontario, P3E 6A5, telephone (705) 670-7264.

Instructions:

- Of filing assessment work or consult the Mining Work Group duplicate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Group</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VLF Electromagnetic Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recorded Holder(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client No.</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>113052</td>
<td>2 Silver Maple Court #006 Brampton Ontario L6T 4R1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Porcupine Township: Chester
M or G Plan No. G - 3223

Work Performed (Check One Work Group Only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Group</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geotechnical Survey</td>
<td>June 15, 1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Assessment Work Claimed on the Attached Statement of Costs $5,570.00

Note: The Minister may reject for assessment work credit all or part of the assessment work submitted if the recorded holder cannot verify expenditures claimed in the statement of costs within 30 days of a request for verification.

Persons and Survey Company Who Performed the Work (Give Name and Address of Author of Report)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murray Little</td>
<td>1502-1441 St. Georges Ave. North Vancouver BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Bryan</td>
<td>2 Silver Maple Court #006 Brampton Ontario L6T 4R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwin Speelman</td>
<td>504 E. Evergreen Dr. Kallispell MT. 59901 USA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond Davies</td>
<td>6 Willowood Court, Willowdale Ont M2J 2M3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Certification of Beneficial Interest

I certify that at the time the work was performed, the claims covered in this work report were recorded in the current holder's name or held under a beneficial interest by the current recorded holder.

Name and Address of Person Certifying

James A. Bryan 2 Silver Maple Court #006 Brampton Ontario L6T 4R1

Certified By (Signature) J. A. Bryan

For Office Use Only

RECEIVED JUN 18 1997
1200 P.O.
PORCUPINE MINING DIVISION

Date Recorded: June 12, 1997
Date Approved: Sept 10, 1997
Date Notice for Amendments Sent: June 12, 1997
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Number of Work Reported</th>
<th>Claim Number (new or old)</th>
<th>Value of Work Done on this Claim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1094720</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Value Work</th>
<th>Total Reserve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value of Assessment of the Claim</th>
<th>Value of Reserve Due to be Claimed at a Future Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3642</td>
<td>1928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Credits you are claiming in this report may be cut back. In order to minimize the adverse effects of such deletions, please indicate from which claims you wish to prioritize the deletion of credits. Please mark (✓) one of the following:

1. Credits are to be cut back starting with the claim listed last, working backwards.
2. Credits are to be cut back equally over all claims contained in this report of work.
3. Credits are to be cut back as prioritized on the attached appendix.

In the event that you have not specified your choice of priority, option one will be implemented.

Note 1: Examples of beneficial interest are unrecorded transfers, option agreements, memorandum of agreements, etc., with respect to the mining claims.

Note 2: If work has been performed on patented or leased land, please complete the following:

I certify that the recorded holder had a beneficial interest in the patented or leased land at the time the work was performed.

Signature: _________________________ Date: _________________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Client #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murray Little</td>
<td>1502-1441 St. Georges Ave.</td>
<td>North Vancouver</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>V7L-3J4</td>
<td>160580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Chubb</td>
<td>C/O General Delivery</td>
<td>New Lowell</td>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>L0M-1NO</td>
<td>118178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Speelman</td>
<td>504 Evergreen Drive</td>
<td>Kallispell M.T.</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>59901 USA</td>
<td>196301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statement of Costs for Assessment Credit

Etat des coûts aux fins du crédit d'évaluation

Mining Act/Loi sur les mines

1. Direct Costs/Coûts directs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Total global</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wages Salaires</td>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field Supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervision sur le terrain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor's Fees</td>
<td>Consultant's Fees</td>
<td>750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies Used</td>
<td>Sign.</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fournitures</td>
<td>flags chain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>utilized</td>
<td>line markers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Equipment rental</td>
<td>EM-16</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location de</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>matériau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Direct Costs
Total des coûts directs 4645

Note: The recorded holder will be required to verify expenditures claimed in this statement of costs within 30 days of a request for verification. If verification is not made, the Minister may reject the entire statement of costs. If the Minister rejects part or all of the assessment work submitted, the holder will be required to verify expenditures claimed in the assessment work for 30 days of a request for verification.

2. Indirect Costs/Coûts indirects

* * * Note: When claiming Rehabilitation work Indirect costs are not allowable as assessment work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Total global</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auto</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Lodging</td>
<td>Nourriture et</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hébergement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobilization and</td>
<td>Démobilisation</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobilisation et</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>démobilisation</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Total of Indirect</td>
<td></td>
<td>1660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>Total partiel des</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>coûts indirects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Value of Assessment Credit</td>
<td>Total of Direct and Allowable devaluation</td>
<td>925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Montant admissible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n'excédant pas 20% des coûts directs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valeur totale du crédit d'évaluation</td>
<td>925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filing Discounts

1. Work filed within two years of completion is claimed at 100% of the above Total Value of Assessment Credit.

2. Work filed three, four or five years after completion is claimed at 50% of the above Total Value of Assessment Credit. See calculations below:

Total Value of Assessment Credit x 0.50 =

Certification Verifying Statement of Costs

I hereby certify that the amounts shown are as accurate as possible, that all expenses were incurred while conducting assessment work on the lands shown on the accompanying Report of Work form.

as Recorded Holder (Recorded Holder, Agent, Position in Company) I am authorized to make this certification

Remises pour dépôt

1. Les travaux déposés dans les deux ans suivant leur achèvement sont remboursés à 100 % de la valeur totale susmentionnée du crédit d'évaluation.

2. Les travaux déposés trois, quatre ou cinq ans après leur achèvement sont remboursés à 50 % de la valeur totale du crédit d'évaluation susmentionné. Voir les calculs ci-dessous.

Attestation de l'état des coûts

Signature

Note: Dans cette formule, lorsqu'il désigne des personnes, le masculin est utilisé au sens neutre.
Dear Sir or Madam:

Subject: Transaction Number(s):

 Submission Number: 2.17807

Status

W9760.00575 Deemed Approval

We have reviewed your Assessment Work submission with the above noted Transaction Number(s). The attached summary page(s) indicate the results of the review. WE RECOMMEND YOU READ THIS SUMMARY FOR THE DETAILS PERTAINING TO YOUR ASSESSMENT WORK.

If the status for a transaction is a 45 Day Notice, the summary will outline the reasons for the notice, and any steps you can take to remedy deficiencies. The 90-day deemed approval provision, subsection 6(7) of the Assessment Work Regulation, will no longer be in effect for assessment work which has received a 45 Day Notice.

Please note any revisions must be submitted in DUPLICATE to the Geoscience Assessment Office, by the response date on the summary.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact Lucille Jerome by e-mail at jerome_j@torv05.ndm.gov.on.ca or by telephone at (705) 670-5858.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
Blair Kite
Supervisor, Geoscience Assessment Office
Mining Lands Section
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction Number</th>
<th>First Claim Number</th>
<th>Township(s) / Area(s)</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Approval Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W9760.00575</td>
<td>1094720</td>
<td>CHESTER</td>
<td>Deemed Approval</td>
<td>September 11, 1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section: 14 Geophysical VLF

Correspondence to:
Resident Geologist
South Porcupine, ON

Assessment Files Library
Sudbury, ON

Recorded Holder(s) and/or Agent(s):
JAMES ALLAN BRYAN
BRAMPTON, Ontario

MURRAY LITTLE
NORTH VANCOUVER, BC

FRED W CHUBB
NEW LOWELL, Ontario

EDWIN LLOYD SPEELMAN
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario
REFERENCES

AREAS WITHDRAWN FROM DISPOSITION

M.R.O. - MINING RIGHTS ONLY
S.R.O. - SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY
M.+ S. - MINING AND SURFACE RIGHTS

SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY WITHDRAWN UNDER 43 OF THE MINING ACT


SAND AND GRAVEL.
M.T.C. PIT * 1649
M.T.C. PIT * 3C-20 3C-17
M.T.C. PIT * 3C-17

NOTES.
LOWERING RIGHTS TO CONTOUR ZOO RESERVED TO ONTARIO HYDRO LOCATION HY S4, L.O. ?S43. N.T. 1362.

HUD TWP. IS SUBJECT TO MINING ACTIVITIES IN 1991.
PURTHER INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON TIC.

THE INFORMATION THAT APPEARS ON THIS MAP HAS BEEN COMPILED FROM VARIOUS SOURCES AND ACCURACY IS NOT GUARANTEED. THOSE WISHING TO STAKE MINING CLAIMS SHOULD CONSULT WITH THE MINING RECORDER, MINISTRY OF NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT AND MINES, FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE STATUS OF THE LANDS SHOWN HEREON.

DISPOSITION OF CROWN LANDS

TYPE OF DOCUMENT

PATENT - SURFACE & MINING RIGHTS
SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY
MINING RIGHTS ONLY
LICENSE OF OCCUPATION
ORDER IN COUNCIL
RESERVATION
CANCELLED
SAND & GRAVEL

SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 CHAINS

TOWNSHIP

CHESTER
GOGAMA MUNICIPALITY
PORCUPINE
SUDbury

Ministry of Natural Resources Branch
Ontario

MARCH, 1985