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Maps, Sections, Assays follow report
Report on Drilling on the Garrison Four Property
1996 OPAP Project OP 96-304

Project
An OPAP grant (file number OP 96-304, Ontario Ministry of Northern Development and Mines) was made available by the to the author in 1996 for the purpose of further drill testing geophysical conductors located on a property he holds located in Garrison township Ontario. The anomalies had been detected in a 1988 survey conducted for LAC Minerals who, at that time, held an option on the property. One of the anomalies had been rated the best one detected in the survey but LAC chose to leave it untested. Because the property is entirely overburden covered, is located near the projected confluence of at least two major faults and is a short distance west of the Jonpol property, which is currently under underground development as a gold mine, it was believed that the conductor merited a drill test. In 1995, OPAP grant OP 95-295 provided the author with part of the funding for a two hole program designed to test two of the conductors including the one rated best in the LAC survey. Results were inconclusive because of reversed dip directions and a second attempt was proposed for 1996 as described in the author's 1996 OPAP proposal. This report describes the results of the 1996 program.

Property
The property consists of four unpatented mining claims located in the northwest quarter of Garrison township, Ontario. The claim numbers are 853170, 853171, 853172 and 853173 and the claims are known as the "Garrison Four" property. Title to the mining rights is held by the author.

Access
Access is readily attained from highway 101 East, between the town of Matheson, Ontario, and the Quebec border. The northwest property corner is located at the intersection of highway 101 East and the Buffonta mine road. This secondary road crosses the claim group and gives access to within a few hundred feet of the drill holes.

General Geology
Rocks underlying the Garrison Four property belong to the Kinojevis Group of the Abitibi geological Subprovince. Rhythmic volcanic flow sequences and sediments generally striking south of west and dipping steeply to moderately south are the predominant formations with alternating magnesian and ferrous compositions and with komatite horizons common. These and other volcanics of more acid composition together with various interlayered sediments are cut by a variety of intrusives varying
from granites and granodiorites through feldspar porphyries and diorites to gabbros and diabase dikes. Numerous mines and mineral occurrences are found in the Kinojevis usually associated with the volcanic flow sequences in areas of deformation and alteration. The closest operating mines are the Holt-McDermott mine of Barrick Gold in Holloway township and the Hemlo-Freewest mine just north of it. Many mineral showings for gold and base metals also occur nearby.

The Garrison Four property is close to the location where the Destor-Porcupine fault zone (DPFZ) is believed to intersect the Munro fault. Thus the area is structurally complex, a condition which is commonly present when a large number of gold occurrences are found together as is the case in Garrison township. The DPFZ appears to be a major hinge fault for the sedimentary basin to the south, the centre of which is occupied by the Blake River group. Volcanic activity along the hinge associated with Plate Tectonics provides mineral complexity and ore potential.

**Property Geology**

No outcrop exists on the property, all four claims being overlain by thick sand and pebble deposits associated with the Munro esker. Thus, except for the presence of a peridotite mass known from LAC Minerals' hole HOB88-1A in the north central part of the property and sedimentary rocks as encountered in holes HG951 and HG952 drilled last year no direct knowledge of the underlying formations exists. Only geophysical evidence from earlier magnetometer and electromagnetic surveys provides a clue to guide exploration. Magnetic patterns indicated the presence of the peridotite and the electromagnetic conductors detected by the Geoprobe survey done for LAC in 1988, and others done earlier by the author indicate possible conductive zones. These latter zones may be due to sulphide concentrations along fractures or to interflow sedimentary zones with which gold and/or base metal concentrations may occur. The fact that the property is possibly underlain by the junction of the Destor-Porcupine and Munro faults adds exploration interest because of the faults' association with gold deposits over its long strike length. Numerous occurrences of gold with this zone are known in Garrison township and the Jonpol gold mine about 3 kilometres to the east of the property is currently under development for production.

**LAC's Maxi-Probe Survey**

The survey which detected the anomalies (conductors) which were tested in this and the 1995 drill programs was done in 1988 for LAC Minerals by Geoprobe Limited of Mississauga using a Maxi-Probe EMR16 system. Details of survey procedures and interpretation are available from them. The author has a copy of the covering report, map and pseudo sections.
Previous Drilling: 1995 Results

Two holes were drilled in October 1995. The first, HG951, was located to test the Zone 1 anomaly detected in the LAC geophysical survey. The second, HG952, was drilled to test a structural feature near Zone 2 (see map).

Hole HG951 (Line 80W, 1+20N)
This first hole was drilled from south to north, cutting 175 feet of overburden and then penetrated a series of fine grained sedimentary rocks identified as being mainly mudstones with more minor sandstone, greywacke and possibly argillite. Although regional dips in the area are almost universally toward the south, observed dips in the drill core read from bedding planes and sedimentary contacts indicate dips in this area to be north. Nothing seen in the core offered an explanation for the Zone 1 anomaly. Because of the reversed dip it was thought probable that the hole did not cut the anomaly and was running under and parallel to it. The hole ended at a depth of 506 ft.

Hole HG952 (Line 82W, 11+25N)
This hole was drilled from north to south to test a geophysical feature which, on the pseudo section, looked like a deep shear near Zone 2. It cut 184 feet of overburden. A strong rusty broken zone, in sediments, with a projected true width of up to 35 feet was intersected. Below this a series of mudstones, sandstones and greywackes were cut. The hole ended at a depth of 426 ft. The target geophysical feature tested by this hole was a structural break which appears to have strong depth continuity. The rusty sedimentary zone cut is probably the cause of the feature. Six assays for gold, of which one was from the rusty zone, showed low gold values up to 17 ppb. A quartz vein from the rusty zone assayed nil.

1996 Drill Program

It was proposed to complete the test of Zone 1, begun in 1995, by drilling a second hole across it from north to south. It was also proposed to drill a hole further north to test Zone 3. In October 1996 three holes were drilled with the following results.

Hole HG961 (Line 80W, 3+85N) 369 ft.
This hole completed the section on Zone 1, cutting sediments primarily. A weak section of conductive pyrite in thin seam fillings was cut at about 342 ft. and is concluded to be the cause of the LAC/Geoprobe Zone 1. The target is probably of no further exploration interest.

Hole HG962 (Line 80W, 20+90N) 118 ft.
This hole was lost in sand overburden despite two attempts to complete it. It was planned to have cut Zone 3. Zone 3 therefore remains untested.
Hole HG963 (Line 80W, 4+25N) 686 ft.
Following the failure to complete hole HG962, this hole was planned to continue northward on the section of holes HG951 and HG961, further testing the sediments in an area suggested by the Geoprobe data to be overlain by relatively shallow overburden. It cut mostly sediments but also two sections of syenite and possibly related intrusives. Gold values up to 0.38 grams/tonne were obtained from the syenite and all 11 samples taken from various rocks in the vicinity of the syenite returned low gold values.

Results and Conclusions

- Hole HG961 cut weak pyrite mineralization below LAC/Geoprobe's Zone 1. It appears to have successfully completed the section begun with hole HG951 and probably explains the anomaly. Small differences between the projected location of the anomaly and the actual intersection in HG961 are explained by possible foreshortening caused by hole deviation from projected azimuth.

- No test of Zone 3 was obtained. Hole HG962 was lost in sandy overburden despite two attempts to complete and because of the relatively limited budget available no further attempt was made to test it. It is not considered a high priority target in any case.

- Hole HG963 appears to have cut the top of a syenite zone which may be of exploration interest. Gold values, though sub economic in grade, are highly anomalous in the syenite and anomalous in the sediments near the syenite. Syenite intrusives have relatively large size potential as gold ore hosts as evidenced by the Young Davidson mine in Matachewan, Ontario, which was in production for over 20 years. The Silversides (former Buffonta) property a short distance to the south of the Garrison Four property has similar geology with gold values being associated with a syenite contact. That property has also been in production for short periods of time in the past. It is recommended that further drilling be done in the area where the syenite intersections were obtained to further evaluate its potential.

L.G. Hobbs, P.Eng.
October 1996
Garrison Four Property
Claim Map
Garrison Township, Ontario
Scale: 1 in. = 1320 ft
**Hole:** HG961  
**Company:** L.G. Hobbs  
**Property:** Garrison four  
**Twp:** Garrison  
**Length:** 369 ft.  
**Start:** 10/03/96  
**Driller:** M. Boileau  
**Core Size:** BQ  
**Location:** Line 80W, 3+85N  
**Azimuth:** 180 deg  
**Dip:** 67 deg  
**Storage:** Ramore  
**Date:** 10/20/96  

**Logged by:** L.G. Hobbs, P.Eng.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From (ft)</th>
<th>To (ft)</th>
<th>Log</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>Casing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>@ 182' Bedding at CA=22 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>@244/6' Small finger grey porphyry w Py intrudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16116 small patch min'd porp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>@279' less gwke, more grey mudstone locally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>@286' Bedding CA = 20 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>@312' siliceous, sh'd, min'd section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16117 siliceous sh'd min'd section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>@345-346 Py seams. Conductive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
<td></td>
<td>End of Hole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**From (ft) | To (ft) | Log |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>244/5</td>
<td>244/8</td>
<td>0/3 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>312/10</td>
<td>0/10 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From (ft)</td>
<td>To (ft)</td>
<td>Log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-118</td>
<td>Casing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lost in loose sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>End of Hole</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Hole:** HG962
- **Company:** L.G. Hobbs
- **Property:** Garrison Four
- **Twp:** Garrison
- **Province:** Ontario
- **Length:** 118 ft.
- **Start:** 10/05/96
- **Finish:** 10/06/96
- **Driller:** M. Boileau
- **Core Size:** BQ
- **Location:** Line 80W, 20+90N
- **Azimuth:** 180 deg
- **Dip:** 65 deg
- **Storage:** Ramore
- **Date:** 10/20/96
- **Logged by:** L.G. Hobbs, P. Eng.
**Hole:** HG963  
**Company:** L.G. Hobbs  
**Property:** Garrison Four  
**Twp:** Garrison  
**Length:** 686 ft.  
**Start:** 10/06/96  
**Driller:** M. Boileau  
**Core Size:** BQ  
**Location:** Line 80W, 4+25N  
**Azimuth:** 0 deg  
**Dip:** 60 deg  
**Storage:** Ramore  
**Date:** 10/20/96  
**Province:** Ontario  
**Logged by:** L.G. Hobbs, P.Eng.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From (ft)</th>
<th>To (ft)</th>
<th>Log</th>
<th>Assays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>Casing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 153      | 325    | Greywacke, siltstone, mudstone  
@280-325 light brown mudstone contrasts w gwke.  
@317-320 Broken section | |
| 325      | 356/4  | Mudstone more prominent  
Brown, finely bedded. CA = 16-18 deg | |
| 356/4    | 357/4  | Grey Dike, conformable, coarser centre. | |
| 357/4    | 399    | Grey Dike, conformable, coarser centre.  
Mudstone mainly  
387/8-388/7 Qtz flooded, sheared, Py in brown mudstone. Py continues to 391'  
16110 sh'd Qtz + sulphide in mudstone  
16109 min'd brown mudstone | 0.08  
16109 | 387/8 | 388/7 | 391 | 2/5 | 0.08 |
16107 Purple min'd syenite  
16108 as 16107 but more Qtz | 0.21  
16108 | 399 | 401/5 | 402 | 0/7 | 0.06 |
| 402      | 404/6  | Mudstone | |
| 404/6    | 409/1  | Grey Dikes, conformable. "streaked" gneissic. Green mineral (chlorite?) causes streaks. | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Box</th>
<th>From (ft.)</th>
<th>To (ft.)</th>
<th>Length (ft)</th>
<th>Assay (gm/t)</th>
<th>Assay (oz/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16110</td>
<td>387/8</td>
<td>388/7</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16109</td>
<td>387/8</td>
<td>388/7</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Features</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409/1</td>
<td><strong>Greywacke, mudstone.</strong> Grey with light brown alteration along fractures. @438' sheared. V. little veining. @475' CA=45 deg @488' CA= 40 deg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409/1</td>
<td>Syenite. Red w qtz veins and minor Py. Core discoloured (pink) from 508/6-511/4. Heavier Py adjacent to syenite intr. in discoloured sediments. 16113 altered seds 16111 syenite 16112 altered seds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>509/9</td>
<td>635/6</td>
<td><strong>Mixed Sediments</strong> @609' CA= 30 deg 16114 min'd gwke, mudstone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>635/6</td>
<td>636/6</td>
<td><strong>Calcite Vein.</strong> White and Pink calcite vn. 2&quot; wide @ 12 deg CA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>636/6</td>
<td>642</td>
<td><strong>Grey granular dike(?)</strong> with much mica?, fine sulphide. 16115 grey dike. Fine S + mica?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>642</td>
<td>686</td>
<td><strong>Mixed Sediments</strong> @685' CA = 13 deg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>686</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>End of Hole</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Projected location of Zone 1 conductor (from 1995 drilling)

Possible Syenite Mass

OPAP 96 PROJECT
Section on Holes HG961, HG963
Scale 1 in. = 100 ft
OPAP 96 PROJECT
Section on Hole HG962
Scale: 1 in. = 100 ft.
October 1996  L.G. Hobbs, P.Eng
Assay Certificate

Company: L. HOBBS
Project: L. Hobbs
Attn: L. Hobbs

We hereby certify the following Assay of 11 Core samples submitted OCT-12-96 by.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Au g/tonne</th>
<th>Au Check g/tonne</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16107</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16108</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16109</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16110</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16111</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16112</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16113</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16114</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16115</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16116</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16117</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One assay ton portion used.

Certified by

P.O. Box 10, Swastika, Ontario P0K 1T0
Telephone (705)642-3244    FAX (705)642-3300
Declaration of Assessment Work Performed on Mining Land
Minning Act, Subsection 65(2) and 69(3), R.S.O. 1990

Instructions: • For work performed on Crown Lands before recording a claim, use form 0240.
• Please type or print in ink.

1. Recorded holder(s) (Attach a list if necessary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Client Number</th>
<th>Telephone Number</th>
<th>Fax Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence G. Hobbs</td>
<td>2.18886</td>
<td>905 841.8195</td>
<td>3128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Type of work performed: Check (✓) and report on only ONE of the following groups for this declaration.

- Geotechnical: prospecting, surveys, assays and work under section 18 (regs)
- Physical: drilling, stripping, trenching and associated assays
- Rehabilitation

Work Type
Diamond Drilling

Dates Work Performed
From 3 Jan 96 To 9 Jan 96

Global Positioning System Data (if available): Garrison, Ont., 1988

Please remember to: • obtain a work permit from the Ministry of Natural Resources as required;
• provide proper notice to surface rights holders before starting work;
• complete and attach a Statement of Costs, form 0212;
• provide a map showing contiguous mining lands that are linked for assigning work;
• include two copies of your technical report.

3. Person or companies who prepared the technical report (Attach a list if necessary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Telephone Number</th>
<th>Fax Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence G. Hobbs</td>
<td>905 841.8195</td>
<td>3128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Certification by Recorded Holder or Agent

Lawrence G. Hobbs, do hereby certify that I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration of Assessment Work having caused the work to be performed or witnessed the same during or after its completion and, to the best of my knowledge, the annexed report is true.

Signature of Recorded Holder or Agent

Deemed Dec 21/98
5. Work to be recorded and distributed. Work can only be assigned to claims that are contiguous (adjoining) to the mining land where work was performed, at the time work was performed. A map showing the contiguous link must accompany this form.

### Mining Claim Number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Claim Units</th>
<th>Value of work performed on this claim or another mining land.</th>
<th>Value of work applied to this claim.</th>
<th>Value of work assigned to other mining claims.</th>
<th>Bank. Value of work to be distributed at a future date.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TB 7827</td>
<td>$26,825</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$2,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1234567</td>
<td>$8,892</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$4,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>853170</td>
<td>7776</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>6576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>853173</td>
<td>6,532</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>853171</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>853172</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Totals</td>
<td>14,310</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>12,710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Lawrence M. Hoopes**, do hereby certify that the above work credits are eligible under subsection 7 (1) of the Assessment Work Regulation 6/96 for assignment to contiguous claims or for application to the claim where the work was done.

**Signature of Recorded Holder or Agent Authorized in Writing**

**Date**

6. Instructions for cutting back credits that are not approved.

Some of the credits claimed in this declaration may be cut back. Please check ( ) in the boxes below to show how you wish to prioritize the deletion of credits:

- [ ] 1. Credits are to be cut back from the Bank first, followed by option 2 or 3 or 4 as indicated.
- [ ] 2. Credits are to be cut back starting with the claims listed last, working backwards; or
- [ ] 3. Credits are to be cut back equally over all claims listed in this declaration; or
- [ ] 4. Credits are to be cut back as prioritized on the attached appendix or as follows (describe):

Note: If you have not indicated how your credits are to be deleted, credits will be cut back from the Bank first, followed by option number 2 if necessary.

For Office Use Only

**Received Stamp**

**Deemed Approved Date**

**Date Approved**

**Total Value of Credit Approved**

**Approved for Recording by Mining Recorder (Signature)**
## Statement of Costs for Assessment Credit

Personal information collected on this form is obtained under the authority of subsection 8 (1) of the Assessment Work Regulation 596. This information is a public record. Questions about the collection should be directed to a Provincial Mining Recorder, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines, 3rd Floor, 933 Ramsey Lake Road, Sudbury, Ontario, P3E

### Work Type
- **Diamond Drilling**

### Units of Work
- Depending on the type of work, list the number of hours/day worked, metres of drilling, kilometres of grid line, number of samples, etc.

### Cost Per Unit of Work
- **40.02/metre**

### Total Cost
- **14,310**

### Associated Costs
- Included

### Transportation Costs

### Food and Lodging Costs

### Total Value of Assessment Work
- **14,310**

### Calculations of Filing Discounts:

1. Work filed within two years of performance is claimed at 100% of the above Total Value of Assessment Work.
2. If work is filed after two years and up to five years after performance, it can only be claimed at 50% of the Total Value of Assessment Work. If this situation applies to your claims, use the calculation below:

   \[
   \text{TOTAL VALUE OF ASSESSMENT WORK} \times 0.50 = \text{Total $ value of worked claimed}
   \]

### Note:
- Work older than 5 years is not eligible for credit.
- A recorded holder may be required to verify expenditures claimed in this statement of costs within 45 days of a request for verification and/or correction/clarification. If verification and/or correction/clarification is not made, the Minister may reject all or part of the assessment work submitted.

### Certification verifying costs:

I, [recorded holder, agent, or state company position with signing authority], do hereby certify that the amounts shown are as accurate as may reasonably be determined and the costs were incurred while conducting assessment work on the lands indicated on the accompanying Declaration of Work form.

[Signature]  
[Date]

PROVINCIAL RECORDING OFFICE - SUDBURY RECEIVED
OCT 19 1988
**INVOICE**

Val d'Or, October 13th, 1995

Mr. T.C. Hobbs, P.Eng.
86 Fairway Drive
Aurora - Ontario
L4G 2H4

Object: Drilling Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bore H.B. 96-01</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>4,428.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bore H.B. 96-02</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>7,776.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Saturday 06/19/1995</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>480.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>New casing lost in hole</td>
<td>6 x 10'</td>
<td>315.00</td>
<td>1,890.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13,374.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TNS**

313.06

**AMOUNT TOTAL DUE**

14,687.06

**Wts. 004320**

FAX: (905) 841-8195
November 5, 1998

CHRISTOPHER LAWRENCE HOBBS
86 FAIRWAY DRIVE
AURORA, ONTARIO
L4G-2H4

Telephone: (888) 415-9846
Fax: (877) 670-1555

Visit our website at:
www.gov.on.ca/MNDM/MINES/LANDS/mlsmnpge.htm

Dear Sir or Madam:

Submission Number: 2.18886

Status
W9880.00632 Deemed Approval

We have reviewed your Assessment Work submission with the above noted Transaction Number(s). The attached summary page(s) indicate the results of the review. WE RECOMMEND YOU READ THIS SUMMARY FOR THE DETAILS PERTAINING TO YOUR ASSESSMENT WORK.

If the status for a transaction is a 45 Day Notice, the summary will outline the reasons for the notice, and any steps you can take to remedy deficiencies. The 90-day deemed approval provision, subsection 6(7) of the Assessment Work Regulation, will no longer be in effect for assessment work which has received a 45 Day Notice. Allowable changes to your credit distribution can be made by contacting the Geoscience Assessment Office within this 45 Day period, otherwise assessment credit will be cut back and distributed as outlined in Section #6 of the Declaration of Assessment work form.

Please note any revisions must be submitted in DUPLICATE to the Geoscience Assessment Office, by the response date on the summary.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact Steve Beneteau by e-mail at benetest@epo.gov.on.ca or by telephone at (705) 670-5855.

Yours sincerely,

Blair Kite
Supervisor, Geoscience Assessment Office
Mining Lands Section
**Work Report Assessment Results**

**Submission Number:** 2.18886

**Date Correspondence Sent:** November 05, 1998

**Assessor:** Steve Beneteau

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction Number</th>
<th>First Claim Number</th>
<th>Township(s) / Area(s)</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Approval Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W9880.00632</td>
<td>853170</td>
<td>GARRISON</td>
<td>Deemed Approval</td>
<td>October 30, 1998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section:**
16 Drilling PDRILL

Please note, assessment work credit has been redistributed, as outlined on the attached Distribution of Assessment Work Credit sheet, to better reflect the location of the work.

**Correspondence to:**
Resident Geologist
Kirkland Lake, ON

**Recorded Holder(s) and/or Agent(s):**
CHRISTOPHER LAWRENCE HOBBS
AURORA, ONTARIO

Assessment Files Library
Sudbury, ON
Distribution of Assessment Work Credit

The following credit distribution reflects the value of assessment work performed on the mining land(s).

**Date:** November 05, 1998

**Submission Number:** 2.18886

**Transaction Number:** W9880.00632

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim Number</th>
<th>Value Of Work Performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>853170</td>
<td>1,431.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>853173</td>
<td>12,879.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $14,310.00
The information on this map appears to be related to mining and surface rights in a particular area. Claims staking information should be obtained from the Mining Recorder, Mines Branch, for additional details on the status of the lands shown on the map.

The map is compiled from various sources and accuracy is not guaranteed. The information pertains to lands located near a town named Garrison.

For more detailed information, contact the Mines Branch or the Mining Recorder.