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INTRODUCTION

A total of 169 days, between May 5 and November 20, 1999 was spent conducting a grass roots exploration program on the writer's 16 claim unit property southwest of Larder Lake.

The program consisted of line-cutting, geophysics, prospecting, and rock and soil sampling in an attempt to locate gold mineralization similar to that found at nearby historic producers.

Geophysical surveying covered a total of 14.5 km of grid lines, and sampling yielded 14 rock samples and 17 soil samples.

The writer commuted to the property daily from Rouyn-Noranda, Que., a distance of 78 km, to carry out the work.
LOCATION & ACCESS

The property consists of 16 contiguous claim units (claims 1226060, 1226061, & 1226062) located approximately 12 km southwest of Larder Lake and staddles HWY 624 (refer to LOCATION MAP). Numerous bush roads and trails suitable for 4x4 vehicle criss-cross the property. The trails are left over from logging operations conducted approximately 15 years earlier. Much of the southern portion of the property is now covered in planted red pine as a result of forestry reclamation.

The property lies at 48°00’ North Latitude and 79°45’ West Longitude and comprises 256 hectares corresponding to the following descriptions:

1. Claim No. 1226060

   SE/4 of N/2 Lot 1 Con V
   NE/4 of S/2 Lot 1 Con V
   Of Catharine Township

   S/2 of N/2 Lot 1 Con V
   N/2 of S/2 Lot 1 Con V
   Of Skead Township

2. Claim No. 1226061

   W/2 of S/2 Lot 1 Con V
   Of Skead Township

3. Claim No. 1226062

   S/2 of N/2 Lot 1 Con VI
   All of S/2 Lot 1 Con VI
   N/2 of N/2 Lot 1 Con V
   Of Catharine Township
LOCATION MAP

OPAP No. OP99-514

CATHARINE AND SKEAD TWP.
SURVEY GRID & COVERAGE

A base-line running N – S along the common boundary of CATHARINE and SKEAD townships was cut for 2400m. The base-line is picketed with stations at 25m intervals, and cross-lines at 200m spacing. The cross-lines are blazed and flagged for a total of 14.5 km of grid (576 stations).

Outcrops, although scarce, are plotted on FIG C at a scale of 1 inch equals 60m. The magnetic data are plotted on FIG A, and the VLF data are plotted on FIG B at the same scale.
REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The Kirkland Lake, Larder Lake district is located in the Abitibi Greenstone Belt, one of several metavolcanic–metasedimentary supracrustal regimes, which in combination with large granitoid bodies comprises the Abitibi Subprovince of the Canadian PreCambrian Shield, the largest Archean craton in the world. The Abitibi Subprovince represents a band of volcano-plutonic rock which extends 600 kms from Timmins to Chibougamau, and is distinct from other Subprovinces based on age, and geological characteristics. A district-scale tectonic break, the Kirkland Lake – Larder Lake Break, crosses the area and is host to most gold deposits in the camp.

The volcanics are Keewatin in age and consist of acid, intermediate, and basic lavas, agglomerate, volcanic breccias, with thin horizons of tuff, pyroclastics and interbedded sediments.

Intrusives are thick bands of dioritic, diabasic, and gabbroic rock, and occasionally quartz and feldspar porphyries.

Most of the Archean sediments (excepting thin Keewatin interbeds) are Temiskaming in age. They consist of conglomerate, greywacke, arkose, slate and quartzite resting unconformably on Keewatin lavas. The volcanics were subjected to pre-Temiskaming folding.
Prospecting traverses were run E-W at nominal 200m spacing covering the blazed and flagged grid for a total of approximately 14.5km. In addition, even greater coverage was accomplished by numerous "random" traverses in an attempt to locate outcrop exposure in this area of considerable glacial outwash plain.

Surface outcrop exposure is minimal, and indicates little in the way of structural deformation other than moderately well developed NNW foliation. Shear zones and faults possibly related to the Benson Creek fault system were the targets sought as possible hosts for structurally controlled gold veins. Topography is not indicative of underlying bedrock due to thick glacial overburden in this flat-lying to gently rolling area. The largest outcrop on the property (BL, 15°50N) consists of very fine grained, black to greenish black, mafic volcanic with 1-2% finely disseminated pyrite. This outcrop coincides with the highest magnetic reading (off-scale) recorded on the property.

The extreme northwestern portion of the property is underlain by fine to medium grained intermediate to felsic volcanics (or intrusive).

Seven representative samples of bedrock were collected and analysed for gold. Seven float blocks that may not have travelled a great distance were also sampled. Seventeen samples of the B soil horizon were collected down-ice from areas responding to VLF surveying. Results of Rock and Soil sampling are found in Appendices A and B.
GEOPHYSICS

It was recognized at the outset that exposed outcrop would be at a premium on the property and that geophysics would be the key to outlining targets for follow-up exploration. Magnetic and electromagnetic surveying were the preferred methods to cover the property. Sulphide bearing quartz-carbonate vein systems were believed to be the most likely host for gold mineralization, therefore VLF-EM surveying, utilizing the Geonics EM-16 unit, was carried out on E-W lines spaced at 200m with readings at 25m intervals. The VLF electromagnetic method employs a remote source of electromagnetic waves emanating from antennae intended as a navigation aid for aircraft and submarines around the world. A particular transmitter station is selected to lie more or less along strike with the conductive zone being examined, with the survey lines oriented at right angles to the conductor axis. The magnetic field of the primary signal is horizontal, and in theory, a conductive horizon will produce a secondary magnetic field perpendicular to the strike of the conductor. The instrument measures the dip angle of this secondary field. The method can detect a large number of conductive features ranging from shoreline to massive sulphides. It is not a discriminatory system, thus a great deal of care must be exercised in interpreting results.

Over fourteen km of VLF-EM surveying (576 stations) was carried out over the property using the transmitter station at Cutler, Maine (NAA), operating at a frequency of 24.0 KHz. The survey defined a narrow, 800m long, N-S, high priority conductor (anomaly A) along the eastern boundary of claim 1226061. The conductor is not exposed at surface, and does not conform to regional strike, and is therefore not likely a stratigraphic contact and may represent a structural break in the volcanics.

A 400m long, second priority conductor (anomaly B) is located in the northwest corner of the property and appears to conform to regional strike and may represent graphitic sediments in the volcanic assemblage.

Several weak, one-line conductors are also present and probably represent contrasts in overburden depth, or clay content.

FIG B, at a scale of 1 inch equals 60m, illustrates VLF-EM profiles (plotted at 1” = 20%) in the rear cover of this report.
Magnetic surveying was completed using a Sharpe MF-1 fluxgate magnetometer. The instrument measures variations in the vertical component of the earth’s magnetic field to an accuracy of 5 gammas. Readings were taken at 25m intervals along the E-W grid lines for a total of 14.5km (576 stations) and an additional 2.4 km (96 stations) of base-line. Base-line station values were assigned by repeated readings and noting time of reading and manually calculating magnetic (diurnal) variations with respect to time. The most prominent magnetic feature is a mag high at 15°50’N on the base-line. The magnetic intensity measured off-scale, and represents part of a narrow, highly magnetic band striking NW. The reading was taken on the largest outcrop exposure found on the property and represents a very fine grained mafic volcanic containing minor finely disseminated pyrite.

FIG A, at a scale of 1 inch equals 60m, illustrates magnetic survey results, and is included in the rear cover of this report. A base value of 57,000 gammas was subtracted from the actual readings before plotting.
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

From May 9 to November 5, 1999 a total of 96 days were spent conducting grass roots exploration on the writer’s Larder Lake property under the ONTARIO PROSPECTOR’S ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

The work consisted of line-cutting, blazing and flagging, geophysics, prospecting and sampling. Geophysical surveying covered 14.5km of grid lines (576 stations) plus an additional 2.4km (96 stations) for magnetics. Prospecting also covered the grid lines plus a great deal of additional random traversing through-out the property and along bush trails and roads in search of outcrop exposure. Fourteen rock samples and seventeen soil samples were collected and assayed for gold. Results of sampling are presented in Appendices A & B.

No economic gold mineralization was located on the claims, the best assay being 62 ppb, however virtually all of the property is covered by relatively thick glacial outwash plain.

Anomaly A, located near the eastern margin of claim 1226061, may represent sulphide mineralization in association with quartz-carbonate veining in a dilatant structure related to the Benson Lake fault system. Structural breaks are responsible for gold mineralization in the area. Magnetic contours apparently do not indicate structural breaks, but rather are the result of layered volcanics.

The presence of a VLF – EM anomaly with orientation similar to the Benson Lake fault is significant, however no serious effort to sample at the depths necessary to assess this feature was possible. Because of the lateral extent and thickness of overburden, the only method of conducting effective follow-up exploration would be diamond drilling.

Respectfully submitted

Ray Garvey
Prospector’s Licence No. K23154
Client No. 135126
# APPENDIX A

## ROCK SAMPLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE NO.</th>
<th>SAMPLE LOCATION</th>
<th>GOLD CONTENT (ppb)</th>
<th>SAMPLE DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23+00N, 7+50W</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>FELSIC VOLCANICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21+25N, 8+00W</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>FELS. TO INTER. VOLCANICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22301</td>
<td>19+00N, 15+00W</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>V.F.G., BLACK VOL'S, MINOR PY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22302</td>
<td>15+50N, B.L.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22303</td>
<td>15+00N, B.L.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22304</td>
<td>9+00N, 0+25W</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>INTERMEDIATE VOLCANICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22305</td>
<td>6+25N, 6+50E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22306</td>
<td>22+75N, 2+75W</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>APHANITIC, ACID VOLCANICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22307</td>
<td>21+00N, 0+75W</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>F.G., INTERMEDIATE VOL'S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22308</td>
<td>18+25N, 2+50E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M.B., FELS. TO INTER. VOL'S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22309</td>
<td>14+00N, 6+50W</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F.G., MAFIC VOLCANICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22310</td>
<td>13+50N, 5+75W</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22311</td>
<td>4+50N, 2+25W</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F.G., INTER. TO MAFIC VOL'S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22312</td>
<td>5+00N, 8+00E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# APPENDIX B

## SOIL SAMPLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>Gold Content (ppb)</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22320</td>
<td>14400N, 1400E</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F. TO M.G. SAND, MINOR PEBBLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22321</td>
<td>14400N, 2400E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22322</td>
<td>14400N, 3400E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22323</td>
<td>12400N, 1400E</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22324</td>
<td>12400N, 2400E</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22325</td>
<td>12400N, 3400E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22326</td>
<td>16400N, 2400E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22327</td>
<td>8400N, 0460E</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22328</td>
<td>8400N, 2400E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22329</td>
<td>8400N, 3475E</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22330</td>
<td>20400N, 4400W</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22331</td>
<td>20400N, 5450W</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22332</td>
<td>20400N, 6400W</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22333</td>
<td>12400N, 3400E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22334</td>
<td>12400N, 6400W</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22335</td>
<td>6400N, 1400W</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22336</td>
<td>6400N, 3400W</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Geochemical Analysis Certificate

Company: R.GARVEY
Project: Larder Lake
Attn: R. Garvey

Date: AUG-24-99

We hereby certify the following Geochemical Analysis of 2 Rock samples submitted AUG-20-99 by.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Au PPB</th>
<th>Au Check PPB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One assay ton portion used.

Certified by: 

1 Cameron Ave., P.O. Box 10, Swastika, Ontario P0K 1T0
Telephone (705)642-3244  Fax (705)642-3300
# Geochemical Analysis Certificate

**Company:** R. GARVEY  
**Project:** Larder Lake  
**Attn:** R. Garvey

We hereby certify the following Geochemical Analysis of 2 Rock samples submitted AUG-20-99 by R. Garvey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Au PPB</th>
<th>Au Check PPB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One assay ton portion used.

Certified by [Signature]

1 Cameron Ave., P.O. Box 10, Swastika, Ontario P0K 1T0  
Telephone (705)642-3244  Fax (705)642-3300
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS
Work Order: 058204

To: Ray Garvey
Attn: Ray Garvey
Apartment 301
554 Lansdowne
Toronto
Ontario

Date: 11/01/00

Copy 1 to

Copy 2 to

P.O. No.
Project No.
No. of Samples: 12 Rock
Date Submitted: 30/12/99
Report Comprises: Cover Sheet plus Pages 1 to 1

Distribution of unused material:
Pulps: Discarded After 90 Days Unless Instructed!!!
Rejects: Discarded After 90 Days Unless Instructed!!!

Certified By:

Dr. Hugh de Souza, General Manager
XRAL Laboratories

ISO 9002 REGISTERED

L.N.R. = Listed not received
I.S. = Insufficient Sample
n.a. = Not applicable
*INF = Composition of this sample makes detection impossible by this method
M after a result denotes ppb to ppm conversion, % denotes ppm to % conversion

©SGS Member of the SGS Group (Société Générale de Surveillance)
| Element | Method | Det. Lim. | Units | 22301 | 22302 | 22303 | 22304 | 22305 | 22306 | 22307 | 22308 | 22309 | 22310 | 22311 | 22312 | *Dup 22301 |
|---------|--------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Au      | FA301  | 1        | g/g   | 10    | 9     | 7     | 6     | 4     | 5     | 4     | 1     | 3     | 10    | 3     | 5            | 9            |
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order: 058205

To: Ray Garvey
Attn: Ray Garvey
Apartment 301
554 Lansdowne
Toronto
Ontario

Date: 11/01/00

P.O. No.: 501
Project No.: 17 Rock
No. of Samples: 17 Rock
Date Submitted: 30/12/99
Report Comprises: Cover Sheet plus Pages 1 to 1

Distribution of unused material:
Pulps: Discarded After 90 Days Unless Instructed!!!
Rejects: Discarded After 90 Days Unless Instructed!!!

Certified By: Dr. Hugh de Souza, General Manager
XRAL Laboratories

ISO 9002 REGISTERED
### Work Order: 058205  Date: 11/01/00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Det. Lim.</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ggd</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22321</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22322</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22323</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22324</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22326</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22327</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22328</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22329</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22334</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Dup 22320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Dup 22332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Signature:**

---

*SGS Member of the SGS Group (Société Générale de Surveillance)*
Dear Jim:

With reference to our phone conversation this morning, I'm enclosing a description of the terrain I observed on my claims in Catherine and Skead townships near Larder Lake.

The maps you mailed to me have not yet arrived (somewhere between here and The Pas) so I'm unable to send you a sketch of the property. I hope this description suffices. When I receive the maps I will update them and send them to you. Very few outcrops occur on the claims as was expected and they are described in the report I submitted earlier. The lack of outcrop explains why the area received so little attention in the past despite its excellent location. The method of prospecting consisted of outlining relatively small sections of the property and criss-crossing them in the most practical manner depending on roads, creeks, clearings etc. to achieve coverage. If you refer to my letter to Terry Johnson I believe you will gain a sense of how I accomplished this.

As I noted previously, outcrop is very scarce however I neglected to describe the remainder of the property in adequate detail. The southern three quarters of the property consists of extensive sand and gravel coverage supporting mainly jack pine timber. A great deal of the pine has been logged and the area is now under regeneration (in fact shortly after I cut my base-line, forestry crews sent in to thin out the young Scotts Pine &/or Red Pine have fallen the trees they cut on my base-line). I should also mention the large blocks of "float" that occasionally occur in the sands and gravels were also of interest to me. I also noticed a few backhoe pits in the area. I'm not certain but these pits are likely the result of the KLIP Reconnaissance Till Sampling Program.

At any rate I would describe the material overlying the volcanics as an extensive cover of variable thickness comprised of glaciofluvial outwash deposits. I estimate the overburden in most of the southern portion of the claims consists of about 75% fine sand with minor gravel lenses and organic material covering the fine sands. The nearby Munro Esker is no doubt the source of much of the esker-outwash complex of sand and gravel. Silt and clay are more predominant in the northern portion of the property and I believe they are lacustrine deposits derived from the outwash material that was reworked by small remnant lakes (of glacial Lake Ojibway?) and subsequently deposited in shallow offshore waters.
Vegetation is typically boreal with original jack pine in the better drained, more porous soils in the southern portion of the property, interspersed with a few scattered trembling aspen and white birch. The northern more clay-rich area is covered with fairly extensive stands of black spruce and white spruce with trembling aspen and white birch.

There is very little topographic relief on the claims, however a few lower areas support alder thickets. Swamp or peat bog is absent.

The thickness of the overburden cover is debatable, but I believe it's deeper than I originally anticipated (probably over 20m in most areas), therefore not a great deal can be accomplished with additional surface exploration. The price of gold is not very encouraging at the moment either, however I may have an interested party in the near future so I'm hoping to see more work done fairly soon.

Yours truly

Ray Garvey

Tel: Res (204) 783-8257
    Bus (204) 945-1921
Dear Terry,

Further to our conversation to-day regarding the number of days allowed for the purpose of prospecting my claims near Larder Lake under OPAP GRANT NO. OP99-514, I would like to point out that the property was prospected intensively not only for outcrop exposure, which as expected was rare, but also for pieces of angular “float” material that might represent bedrock from a not too distant source. This involved sub-dividing the claims into a considerable number of manageable sections (between a bush trail and a creek for instance) and carefully traversing the area on very closely spaced lines and random traverses for any indication of mineralization (quartz &/or carbonate veining, sulphides, shearing or alteration). Each section received this type of detailed coverage. Sample locations were determined by searching north or south, as the case may be, from the actual sample site to locate the nearest grid line to record the grid co-ordinates. The entire property was covered in this fashion. The average daily coverage using this system of detailed prospecting would probably be in the neighborhood of four kilometers of linear travel, but very little in terms of area covered.

Running grid lines with brief stops at stations to collect geophysical data can be accomplished relatively quickly, however detailed prospecting in search of targets as small as boulders, and the associated “grubbing” that must be carried out, is much more labour intensive, time consuming, and not designed for high productivity in terms of areal coverage. Not finding something that isn’t there is quite permissable, but missing the one small outcrop or piece of float that would return a significant assay is a more serious matter.

The attractiveness of the property lay in the possibility of finding mineralized shears in an area lacking outcrop. The lack of outcrop no doubt discouraged earlier prospecting efforts. If any indication of mineralization, no matter how minimal, were found in combination with a favourable geophysical response, the likelihood of convincing explorationists to invest in the property would be greatly enhanced due to the lack of previous work in the immediate area. The surrounding area has been shown to be highly prospective for gold.
Unusual as it may seem, I believe it would require less time to prospect a similar sized claim block that exhibited a great deal of outcrop exposure. Unfortunately, overburden depths are probably greater than I initially estimated, therefore surface prospecting, or even till sampling may not be as effective as I anticipated.

With the detailed prospecting I carried out on the claims, I'm confident there is no surface indication of mineralization that I missed. Nothing remains to be done in terms of surface exploration to advance my understanding of the economic potential of the property. The only effective exploration tool remaining is drill testing the VLF conductor located near the eastern boundary.

I trust the foregoing explains why the number of days allotted to prospecting may seem excessive, however I'm certain very few properties were ever examined as thoroughly as mine, and I hope you see fit to accept my final submission as is.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at: (204) 623-3639.

Yours truly

Ray Garvey
Declaration of Assessment Work
Performed on Mining Land
Mining Act, Subsection 65(2) and 66(3), R.S.O. 1990

Instructions:
- For work performed on Crown Lands before recording a claim, use form 0240.
- Please type or print in ink.

1. Recorded holder(s) (Attach a list if necessary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Client Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAY GARVEY</td>
<td>135126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.O. BOX 3653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE PAS, MANITOBA, R9A 159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Type of work performed: Check (√) and report on only ONE of the following groups for this declaration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Type</th>
<th>Date Work From</th>
<th>Date Work To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geotechnical: prospecting, surveys,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assays and work under section 18 (regs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical: drilling stripping, trenching and associated assays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Type</th>
<th>Office Use</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Total $ Value of Work Claimed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LINE CUTTING, PROSPECTING,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMPLING, RECONNAISSANCE MAPPING,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOPHYSICS, SAMPLING, ASSAYING,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORT WAITING, TRAVEL.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Global Positioning System Data (if available)

Please remember to:
- obtain a work permit from the Ministry of Natural Resources as required;
- provide proper notice to surface rights holders before starting work;
- complete and attach a Statement of Costs, form 0212;
- provide a map showing contiguous mining lands that are linked for assigning work;
- include two copies of your technical report.

3. Person or companies who prepared the technical report (Attach a list if necessary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Telephone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAY GARVEY</td>
<td>(204) 623-3639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.O. BOX 3653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE PAS, MANITOBA, R9A 159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Certification by Recorded Holder or Agent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAY GARVEY</td>
<td>SEPT 26/00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agent's Address
R.O. BOX 3653, THE PAS, MANITOBA, R9A 159
(204) 623-3639
5. **Work to be recorded and distributed.** Work can only be assigned to claims that are contiguous (adjoning) to the mining land where work was performed, at the time work was performed. A map showing the contiguous link must accompany this form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mining Claim Number</th>
<th>Number of Claim Units.</th>
<th>Value of work performed on this claim or other mining land.</th>
<th>Value of work applied to this claim.</th>
<th>Value of work assigned to other mining claims</th>
<th>Bank. Value of work to be distributed at a future date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eg</td>
<td>TB 7827</td>
<td>16 ha</td>
<td>$26,825</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eg</td>
<td>1234567</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eg</td>
<td>1234568</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$8,892</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1226060</td>
<td>96 ha</td>
<td>$5,625</td>
<td>$5,625</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1226061</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>$1,875</td>
<td>$1,875</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1226062</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Column Totals

- **256 ha**
- **15,000**
- **15,000**
- **0**

1. **RAY GARVEY**, do hereby certify that the above work credits are eligible under subsection 7 (1) of the Assessment Work Regulation 6/96 for assignment to contiguous claims or for application to the claim where the work was done.

   Signature of Recorded Holder or Agent Authorized in Writing

   Date  **SEPT 26/00**

6. **Instruction for cutting back credits that are not approved.**

Some of the credits claimed in this declaration may be cut back. Please check (√) in the boxes below to show how you wish to prioritize the deletion of credits:

- 1. Credits are to be cut back from the Bank first, followed by option 2 or 3 or 4 as indicated.
- 2. Credits are to be cut back starting with the claims listed last, working backwards; or
- 3. Credits are to be cut back equally over all claims listed in this declaration; or
- 4. Credits are to be cut back as prioritized on the attached appendix or as follows (describe):

Note: If you have not indicated how your credits are to be deleted, credits will be cut back from the Bank first, followed by option number 2 if necessary.

For Office Use Only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Received Stamp</th>
<th>Deemed Approved Date</th>
<th>Date Notification Sent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved for Recording by Mining Recorder (Signature)
### Work Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units of work</th>
<th>Cost Per Unit of work</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LINE-CUTTING</strong> 2.4 km Bl. 14.5 km x-line</td>
<td>$100 / DAY</td>
<td>$1400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROSPECTING</strong> 30 days</td>
<td></td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GEOPHYSICS</strong> 16.9 km Mag, 14.5 km VLF</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAMPLING</strong> 30 days</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RECON. MAPPING</strong> 30 days</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSAYING</strong> 17 soil, 14 rock</td>
<td>$14.5</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Associated Costs (e.g. supplies, mobilization and demobilization).

**TRANSPORTATION COSTS**

**FOOD AND LODGING COSTS**

**TOTAL VALUE OF ASSESSMENT WORK** $15,000.00

#### Calculations of Filing Discounts:

1. Work filed within two years of performance is claimed at 100% of the above Total Value of Assessment Work.
2. If work is filed after two years and up to five years after performance, it can only be claimed at 50% of the Total Value of Assessment Work. If this situation applies to your claims, use the calculation below:

   \[
   \text{TOTAL VALUE OF ASSESSMENT WORK} \times 0.50 = \text{Total $ value of worked claimed}.
   \]

**Note:**
- Work older than 5 years is not eligible for credit.
- A recorded holder may be required to verify expenditures claimed in this statement of costs within 45 days of a request for verification and/or correction/clarification. If verification and/or correction/clarification is not made, the Minister may reject all or part of the assessment work submitted.

#### Certification verifying costs:

I, **Ray Garvey**

(please print full name)

do hereby certify, that the amounts shown are as accurate as may reasonably be determined and the costs were incurred while conducting assessment work on the lands indicated on the accompanying Declaration of Work form as **Recorded Holder**

(recorded holder, agent, or state company position with signing authority)

I am authorized to make this certification.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: **Sept 26/00**
January 29, 2001

RAYMOND THOMAS GARVEY
P.O. BOX 3653
THE PAS, MANITOBA
R9A-1S3

Dear Sir or Madam:

Subject: Transaction Number(s):

We have reviewed your Assessment Work submission with the above noted Transaction Number(s). The attached summary page(s) indicate the results of the review. WE RECOMMEND YOU READ THIS SUMMARY FOR THE DETAILS PERTAINING TO YOUR ASSESSMENT WORK.

If the status for a transaction is a 45 Day Notice, the summary will outline the reasons for the notice, and any steps you can take to remedy deficiencies. The 90-day deemed approval provision, subsection 6(7) of the Assessment Work Regulation, will no longer be in effect for assessment work which has received a 45 Day Notice. Allowable changes to your credit distribution can be made by contacting the Geoscience Assessment Office within this 45 Day period, otherwise assessment credit will be cut back and distributed as outlined in Section #6 of the Declaration of Assessment work form.

Please note any revisions must be submitted in DUPLICATE to the Geoscience Assessment Office, by the response date on the summary.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact JIM MCAULEY by e-mail at james.mcauley@ndm.gov.on.ca or by telephone at (705) 670-5858.

Yours sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
Lucille Jerome
Acting Supervisor, Geoscience Assessment Office
Mining Lands Section
## Work Report Assessment Results

**Submission Number:** 2.20608  
**Date Correspondence Sent:** January 29, 2001  
**Assessor:** JIM MCAULEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction Number</th>
<th>First Claim Number</th>
<th>Township(s) / Area(s)</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Approval Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W0080.00382</td>
<td>1226060</td>
<td>SKEAD, CATHARINE,</td>
<td>Approval After Notice</td>
<td>January 21, 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section:**
- 14 Geophysical VLF
- 14 Geophysical MAG
- 9 Prospecting PROSP
- 12 Geological GEOL

The 45 days outlined in the Notice dated December 7, 2000 have passed and the information provided have been reviewed.

Assessment work credit has been approved as outlined on the attached Distribution of Assessment Work Credit sheet.

The assessment credit is being reduced by $2,065. The TOTAL VALUE of assessment credit that will be allowed, based on the information provided in this submission, is $12,935.

At the discretion of the Ministry, the assessment work performed on the mining lands noted in this work report may be subject to inspection and/or investigation at any time.

**Correspondence to:**
- Resident Geologist
  - RAYMOND THOMAS GARVEY
  - THE PAS, MANITOBA

**Assessment Files Library**
- Sudbury, ON
Distribution of Assessment Work Credit

The following credit distribution reflects the value of assessment work performed on the mining land(s).

**Date:** January 29, 2001

**Submission Number:** 2.20608

**Transaction Number:** W0080.00382

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim Number</th>
<th>Value Of Work Performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1226060</td>
<td>4,851.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1226061</td>
<td>1,617.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1226062</td>
<td>6,467.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $12,935.00
Areas Withdrawn from Staking

Surface and Mining Rights Withdrawn from Staking, section 36/80 order No.

Surface and Mining Rights Withdrawn from Staking, section 36/80 order No.

Surface and Mining Rights Withdrawn from Staking, section 36/80 order No.

The information that appears on this map has been compiled from various sources. Accuracy is not guaranteed. Those wishing to stake mining claims should consult the mining recorder, ministry of natural resources and mines, for additional information on the status of the lands shown herein.

Legend
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Disposition of Crown Lands
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Patent, heritage & mining rights
Surface rights only
Mining rights only
Lease, surface & mining rights
Surface rights only
Mining rights only
License occupation
Crown and sale
Order in council
Reserve
Cancellation
Band & gravel
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Ministry of Natural Resources and Mines
Please complete sketch in ink.

- Where applicable, the items indicated on the sample sketch on Part B must be shown.
- Group Sketch of claims listed on Part A. Sketch or plan of the mining claim(s) must show the corner posts, witness posts, and line posts, and the distances between the posts in metres.
- Include topographic features such as lakes, rivers, creeks, ponds, etc. and developments such as hydro lines, highways, railways, pipelines, buildings, etc. as shown on sketch in Part B.
- Refer to sample sketch on Part B.

**Magnetic Declination Used:**
- For current data, ask at the Recorder's Office.

**Scale:** 1:20,000
12'30" W